

This document has been translated from the Japanese original (as submitted to the Kanto Finance Bureau) for reference purposes only. Olympus Corporation assumes no responsibility for direct, indirect or any other forms of damages caused by misunderstanding from the translation.

Application Form	Extraordinary Report
Submit to:	Director, Kanto Finance Bureau
Submission Date	June 27, 2018
Company Name	Olympus Corporation
English Name	OLYMPUS CORPORATION
Title and Name of Representative	President and Representative Director Hiroyuki Sasa
Address of Headquarters	2951 Ishikawa-machi, Hachioji City, Tokyo
Telephone number	(Main line) Tokyo 3340-2111
Name of liaison personnel	Takashi Masuda, Manager of General Affairs
Closest contact location	Shinjuku Monolith, 2-3-1 Nishishinjuku, Shinjuku Ward, Tokyo
Telephone number	(Main line) Tokyo 3340-2111
Name of liaison personnel	Takayuki Aoyagi, General Manager, Accounting Department
Available for public inspection to:	Tokyo Stock Exchange (2-1 Kabuto-cho, Nihombashi, Chuo Ward, Tokyo)

1. Submission Reason

This extraordinary report is submitted based on Article 24.5.4 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act, and Article 19.2.9.2 of the Cabinet Office Ordinance on the Disclosure of Corporate Affairs, etc., following resolutions made at the Company's 150th general meeting of shareholders on June 26, 2018.

2. Report Contents

(1) Date the said general meeting of shareholders was held: June 26, 2018

(2) Content of the said resolutions

Proposal 1 Dividend of Surplus

Matters concerning end of term dividend

(1) Type of dividend property

Cash

(2) Matters concerning allocation of the dividend property and the total amount

28 yen per ordinary share of the company, total amount: 9,559,084,444 yen

(3) Date the distribution of surplus is effective

June 27, 2018

Proposal 2 Election of 11 Directors

The election of Hiroyuki Sasa, Yasuo Takeuchi, Akihiro Taguchi, Haruo Ogawa, Kiichi Hirata, Sumitaka Fujita, Takayuki Katayama, Susumu Kaminaga, Michijiro Kikawa, Tetsuo Iwamura, and Yasumasa Masuda.

Proposal 3 Election of 1 Substitute Auditor

Election of Atsushi Teshima as substitute auditor.

(3) Number of voting rights expressing agreement, disagreement, or abstention regarding the said proposals, requirements for approval of the said proposals, and results of the resolutions.

Matters for resolution	Agreement (number)	Disagreement (number)	Abstention (number)	Agreement (percentage)	Result
Proposal 1	2,991,533	1,099	208	99.81	Approved
Proposal 2					
Hiroyuki Sasa	2,399,769	520,402	72,659	80.07	Approved
Yasuo Takeuchi	2,942,368	50,264	208	98.17	Approved
Akihiro Taguchi	2,944,850	47,782	208	98.25	Approved
Haruo Ogawa	2,944,893	47,739	208	98.25	Approved
Kiichi Hirata	2,949,837	42,795	208	98.42	Approved
Sumitaka Fujita	2,949,113	43,519	208	98.40	Approved
Takayuki Katayama	2,954,644	37,988	208	98.58	Approved
Susumu Kaminaga	2,954,669	37,963	208	98.58	Approved
Michijiro Kikawa	2,953,981	38,651	208	98.56	Approved
Tetsuo Iwamura	2,954,150	38,482	208	98.56	Approved
Yasumasa Masuda	2,954,637	37,995	208	98.58	Approved
Proposal 3	2,956,058	36,342	508	98.63	Approved

Note 1. Approval requirements for the proposals are as follows.

Proposal 1 received a majority of voting rights in assent of the shareholders present.

Proposal 2 and Proposal 3 received the assent of shareholders present holding one third or more of the voting rights exercisable by shareholders, and by a majority of those shareholders.

2. The calculation of the percentage in agreement takes 2,997,204 as its denominator the total of the voting rights cast in advance of the day of the general meeting of shareholders, and the voting rights cast by shareholders attending the meeting.

(4) Reason why some of the voting rights of shareholders attending the general meeting of shareholders were not counted

For each proposal, once it was clear that the amount required for approval had been reached in counting the voting rights cast before the meeting and those of shareholders attending the meeting, a lawful resolution was obtained under corporate law. Accordingly, the number of voting rights in agreement, disagreement, or abstention of the remaining shareholders present at the meeting were not counted.